FIRST REGULAR NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

Party:	RWANDA
Contact officer for report	
Name and title of contact officer:	Aimée MPAMBARA,
	Environmental officer, Rwanda Environment Management Authority and Focal point for the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity
Mailing address:	Rwanda Environment Management Authority
	P.o. Box 7436 Kacyiru/ Kigali
	Rwanda
Telephone:	+25055100053
Fax:	+250580017
E-mail:	ampambara@yahoo.fr
Submission	
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	Mrs HAJABAKIGA Patricia, Minister of State in charge of Lands and Environment
Date of submission:	
Time period covered by this report:	December 2003- August 2007

Origin of report

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its preparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report:

Obligations for provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH), describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary):

There is no existing information required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing House that has not been provided.

2. Please provide an overview of information that is required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

Type of information	Information	Information	Information
	exists and is	exists but is not	does not exist
	being provided to	yet provided to	/not
	the Biosafety	the Biosafety	applicable
	Clearing-House	Clearing-House	
a) Existing national legislation, regulations and			Х
guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well			
as information required by Parties for the			
advance informed agreement procedure			
(Article 20.3(a))			
b) National laws, regulations and guidelines			X
applicable to the import of LMOs intended for			
direct use as food or feed, or for processing			
(Article 11.5);			
c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements			Х
and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and			
24.1);			
d) Contact details for competent national	Х		
authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national			
focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and			
emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));			
e) In cases of multiple competent national			X
authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles			Λ
19.2 and 19.3);			
f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the			v
operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));			Х
1			

g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1);			Х
Type of information	Information exists and is being provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information exists but is not yet provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information does not exist /not applicable
h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);			Х
i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));			Х
j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);			X
k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);			Х
 Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d)) 			Х
m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)			Х
n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);			Х
o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)			Х
p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1);			Х
q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information			Х

regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).		

Article 2 – General provisions

3. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)		
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)		
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)	Х	
c) no measures yet taken		
4. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obstacles or		

impediments encountered:

Rwanda has completed its National Biosafety Framework in October 2005 with the assistance of GEF/UNEP. The National Biosafety Framework contains

- **A National Policy on Biosafety** completed and approved by the Cabinet in June 2005, which highlights how biotechnology fits in the national development framework and the legal and administrative mechanisms required for biotechnology and biosafety development in Rwanda.
- **A Draft National Biosafety Bill and Guidelines** which operationalizes the policy, and provides a regulatory regime for ensuring that biotechnology developments in the country are safe for human health, the environment and the economy.
- **An Institutional Framework**, spelling out the responsibilities and mandates of stakeholders institutions, and the human resource requirement for effective implementation of the policy and legal instruments, such as notifications and authorizations; risk assessment and management, enforcement and monitoring; biosafety information management; and public awareness.

The draft bill and the suggested institutional framework have not yet been approved by the Cabinet.

Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

5.	Were you a Party of import during this reporting period?		
	a) yes		
	b) no	Х	
6.	Were you a Party of export during this reporting period?		
	a) yes		
	b) no	Х	
	Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters isdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)	<u>1</u> / under the	
	a) yes		

^{1/} The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

b) not yet, but under development		
c) no		
d) not applicable – not a Party of export	X	
8. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Pareview a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12	v i	
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) not yet, but under development		
c) no		
d) not applicable – not a Party of export	X	
9. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory fram by Article 9.2(c).	neworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X	
10. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

11. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

12. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)

a) yes	
b) not yet, b	ut under developmentX- The draft national biosafety bill provides legal requirement for information to be provided by the applicant with respect to the

	domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundar y movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing.
c) no	
d) not applicable (please give details below)	
13. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for 11.9)	
a) yes (please give details below)	X- Rwanda has prepared and submitted a project proposal to the Global Environment Fund which highlights areas of capacity building needs with regards to the safe handling of living modified organisms including for direct use as food, feed or processing.
b) no	
c) not relevant	
14. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory fr by Article 11.4?	ameworks as allowed
a) yes	
b) no	
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X

15. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

16. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Article 13 – Simplified procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

17. Have you applied the simplified procedure during the reporting period?	
a) yes	
b) no	Х
18. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, or i unable to do so for some reason, please describe your experiences in implementing Au any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

19. Has your country entered into any bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements?		
a) yes		
b) no	Х	

20. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X
23. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you require bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)	e the notifier to
a) yes – in all cases	
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)	
c) no	
d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X
24. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the I 16.1)	
a) yes – fully established	
b) not yet, but under development or partially established (please give further details below)	Х
c) no	
25. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)	ndary movements
a) yes – fully adopted	
b) not yet, but under development or partially adopted (please give further details below)	X
c) no	
26. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, wheth locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)	
a) yes – in all cases	
b) yes – in some cases (please give further details below)	
c) no (please give further details below)	
d) not applicable (please give further details below)	Х
27. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.	5?
a) yes (please give further details below)	
b) no (please give further details below)	X
28. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as wel your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, includir impediments encountered:	
Though Rwanda has not yet received any request for introduction or developmen organisms, the draft national biosafety bill and institutional framework provides me and handling of living modified organisms. These includes mechanisms for risk man of living modified organisms, measures to prevent unintentional transboundary n modified organisms and measures for control and observation of living modified or	asures for a safe use agement and control novements of living

locally before their introduction in the environment.

Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

29. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction that led, or could have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism that had, or could have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately consult the affected or potentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?

a) yes – all relevant States immediately	
b) yes - partially consulted, or consultations were delayed (please clarify below)	
c) no – did not consult immediately (please clarify below)	
d) not applicable (no such occurrences)	Х
30. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification

31. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are subject to transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and transported under conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (Article 18.1)

a) yes (please give details below)	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	

d) not applicable (please clarify below)

32. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they 'may contain' living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for information? (Article 18.2(a))

a) yes	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	

33. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modified organisms and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the living modified organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))

a) yes

b)) not yet, but under development	Х
c)) no	

34. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))

a)	yes	
b)	not yet, but under development	Х
c)	no	

35. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as a description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

- The National Biosafety Framework gives a set of mechanisms to facilitate safe development and application of modern biotechnology.
- The Draft Biosafety bill has provisions for regulation of imports, transit, contained and confined use activities or release of living modified organisms.
- In the framework of implementation of the National Biosafety Framework, Rwanda plans to finalise guidelines and regulation including those related to information and documentation requirement for living modified organisms.

Article 19 – Competent national authorities and national focal points

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 – Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

36. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Through the UNEP-GEF project for Building Capacity for Effective Participation in the Biosafety Clearing House, a taskforce made by members from different institutions has been established. Taskforce members have been trained to raise their awareness on the Cartagena Protocol and its Biosafety Clearing House.

Article 21 – Confidential information

37. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)

a) yes	
b) not yet, but under development	Х
c) no	

38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)

a) yes	
If yes, please give number of cases	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a Party of import / no such requests received	X

39. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:

40. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:

Article 22 – Capacity-building

41. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooperated in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with economies in transition?

a) yes (please give details below)	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a developed country Party	X
42. If yes to question 41, how has such cooperation taken place:	

43. If a developing country Party, or Party with an economy in transition, during this reporting period has your country contributed to the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in another developing country Party or Party with an economy in transition?

a) yes (please give details below)	X	
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a developing country Party		
44 If yes to question 43 how has such cooperation taken place.		

44. If yes to question 43, how has such cooperation taken place:

- During the development of the National Biosafety Framework, different groups of people (technicians from different ministries and public institutions, policy makers, NGOs,...)have been trained on issues related to safe utilisation of modern biotechnology and biosafety.
- Under ASARECA, there have been some technicians, essentially in the field of agriculture research and environment, which have been trained in order to strengthen institutional capacity in biosafety.

- Since the Academic Year 2001-2002, the National University of Rwanda has started a bachelor degree program in biotechnology. From 2004, biosafety has been introduced in the curriculum for biotechnology students.
- Some Rwandans have been granted research grants for post-graduate courses in the field of biotechnology and biosafety.

45. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of biotechnology to the extent that it is required for biosafety?

1 5	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	Х
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
46. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	Х
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
47. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and capacities in biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	Х
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
48. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any ob impediments encountered:	

- The GEF-UNEP project under which Rwanda developed the National Biosafety Framework allowed us to meet some capacity building needs in biosafety including the use of risk assessment and risk management.
- Some Rwandan technicians (from REMA, MINITERE, ISAR) participated in different regional training workshops in the field of biosafety, including risk assessment and risk management.

Article 23 -	Public	awareness	and	participation
--------------	--------	-----------	-----	---------------

49. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, educ	
the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in re sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks	
a) yes – significant extent	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
50. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodie	es?
a) yes – significant extent	Х
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and information on living modified organisms identified in accordance w imported? (Article 23.1(b))	
a) yes – fully	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
52. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and re- decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and m available to the public? (Article 23.2)	
a) yes – fully	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
53. Has your country informed its public about the means of public a House? (Article 23.3)	access to the Biosafety Clearing-
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
54. Please provide further details about your responses to the above your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, impediments encountered:	
 Public awareness and participation are part of the key print In this regards, they among the key principles of the Nati Biotechnology and Biosafety Policy. The Organic Law determining modalities of protection 	onal Environment Policy and of the
environment in Rwanda and the Draft Biosafety Bill, in acc	

Article 24 – Non-Parties

request that the decision making regarding living modified organisms is done through a

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

consultative process.

55. Have there been any transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party during the reporting period?		
a) yes		
b) no	X	
56. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:		

Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

57. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, as appropriate, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of its domestic measures? (Article 25.1)		
a) yes		
b) no	Х	
58. Have there been any illegal transboundary movements of living modified organisms into your country during the reporting period?		
a) yes		
b) no	Х	
59. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		

Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations

60. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it take into account socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological diversity to indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)

a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import	X	
61. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio- economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.2)		
	1	

a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	

c) no	Х
62. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obs impediments encountered:	

Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources

63. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your Government made financial resources available to other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.

a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties	
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	X
c) both	
d) neither	

64. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Rwanda has received a UNEP-GEF grant for the Development of the National Biosafety Framework and a grant for Building Capacity for Effective Participation in the Biosafety Clearing House, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.

Other information

65. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions in the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:

Comments on reporting format

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions: