FIRST REGULAR NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

Origin of report

Party:	MALAYSIA	
Contact officer for report		
Name and title of contact officer:	DR LIAN KOK FEI Under Secretary, Conservation and Environmental management Division, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Malaysia	
Mailing address:	Level 6, Wisma Sumber Asli No. 25, Persiaran Perdana, Precinct 4 62574 Putrajaya, MALAYSIA	
Telephone:	+603-8886 1126	
Fax:	+603-8886 4473	
E-mail:	drlian@nre.gov.my	
Submission		
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	Signed (refer to "PDF" and hard copy submission in Sept 2007)	
Date of submission:	30 August 2007	
Time period covered by this report:	October 2005-July 2007	

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its preparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report:

This report was prepared by the Biosafety Unit of the Conservation and Environmental Management Division, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia. Consultations were done with the UNDP/GEF Biosafety Capacity Building Project Coordinator and the Interim Biosafety Secretariat.

Materials used for preparing this report were:

- a. The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety
- b. MOP Decisions
- c. Malaysian Guideline on the Release of Genetically Modified Organisms to the Environment (1997)
- d. National Policy on Biological Diversity, Malaysia (1998)
- e. Administrative minutes of the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee

The draft Malaysian Biosafety Bill

Obligations for provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH), describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary):

Malaysia has posted information to the BCH. This will be further enhanced once the newly passed Biosafety Bill comes into force.

Note: The Biosafety Bill was passed by the Malaysian Parliament in July 2007. It has not come to force as of the date of submission of this report.

2. Please provide an overview of information that is required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

Type of information	Information exists and is being provided to the Biosafety	Information exists but is not yet provided to the Biosafety	Information does not exist /not applicable
a) Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20.3(a))	Clearing-House	Clearing-House	X
b) National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.5);			X
c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and 24.1);			X
d) Contact details for competent national authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));	X		
e) In cases of multiple competent national authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles 19.2 and 19.3);			X
f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));	X		

g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1);			X
Type of information	Information exists and is being provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information exists but is not yet provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information does not exist /not applicable
h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);			X
i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));			X
j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);			X
k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);			X
1) Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d))			X
m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)			X
n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);			X
o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)			X
p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1);			X
q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information			X

regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).		

Article 2 – General provisions

3. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)		
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)		
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)	X	
c) no measures yet taken		

4. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Malaysia's Biosafety Law was passed by the Malaysian Parliament on 11 July 2007 and is currently in the process of getting the Royal assent. This Biosafety Act 2007 will be the legal instrument for Malaysia on all matters pertaining to LMOs once this law is gazetted and comes into force.

During the reporting period the following mechanism was used.

Malaysia released an administrative Guideline on the Release of GMOs to the Environment in 1997. In an event where an LMO is:

- a) imported for food, feed or processing;
- b) introduced to the environment:
- c) used in field trials;
- d) developed in contained use:
- e) imported for contained use activity,

the person concerned needs to inform the National Focal Point which is the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia (NRE). NRE then circulates the relevant documents (including risk assessment) pertaining to the LMO to the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) for their views. GMAC then submits their views to NRE before a decision is being made.

The current set up is administrative and voluntary in nature as there are currently no legal requirements.

<u>Note</u>: During the reporting period no decisions were made on LMOs (either for FFP, direct introduction, or contained use) as all request are still being assessed by GMAC.

Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

5.	Were you a Party of import during this reporting period?	
	a) yes	
	b) no	X
6.	Were you a Party of export during this reporting period?	
	a) yes	
	b) no	X

7. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters <u>1</u> / under the jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)		
a) yes		
b) not yet, but under development	X	
c) no		
d) not applicable – not a Party of export		
8. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Parreview a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12.		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) not yet, but under development		
c) no		
d) not applicable – not a Party of export	X	
9. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory framby Article 9.2(c).	eworks as allowed	
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X	
10. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
NA		
11. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
NA		
Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for a feed, or for processing	lirect use as food or	
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-F	Iouse.	

12. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)	
a) yes	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	
d) not applicable (please give details below)	

 $[\]underline{1}$ / The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

13. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and capacity-building in respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.9)		
a) yes (please give details below)	X	
b) no		
c) not relevant		
14. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 11.4?		
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X	
15. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
NA		
16. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
NA		
Article 13 – Simplified procedure		

Article 13 – Simplified procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

17. Have you applied the simplified procedure during the reporting period?	
a) yes	
b) no	X
18. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, please describe your experiences in implementing Article 13, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	
NA	

Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

19. Has your country entered into any bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements?	
a) yes	
b) no	X

20. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

NA

Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

21. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments carried out for all decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)		
a) yes		
b) no (please clarify below)		
c) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X	
22. If yes to question 21, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment	?	
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X	
23. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you requir bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)	e the notifier to	
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X	
24. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures a regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)		
a) yes – fully established		
b) not yet, but under development or partially established (please give further details below)	X	
c) no		
25. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)	ndary movements	
a) yes – fully adopted		
b) not yet, but under development or partially adopted (please give further details below)	X	
c) no		

26. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether imported or
locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with its life-cycle or
generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)

a) yes – in all cases	
b) yes – in some cases (please give further details below)	
c) no (please give further details below)	
d) not applicable (please give further details below)	X
27. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5?	
a) yes (please give further details below)	
b) no (please give further details below)	X

^{28.} Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

For the reporting period there was no Legal Biosafety Framework in place. With the Biosafety Bill just passed in July 2007, regulation will be formulated to address Article 15 and 16

Question 26

If the LMO is developed locally it undergoes an appropriate period of observation to commensurate with its life-cycle or generation time before it is put to its intended use. At the moment Malaysia has no LMO which has been developed locally which has been introduced to the environment (commercially grown). As for imported, since there is no legal mechanism in place yet, there is still not legal requirement, though administratively this information it is requested from the party requesting the approval.

Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

29. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction that led, or could have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism that had, or could have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately consult the affected or potentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?

a) yes – all relevant States immediately	
b) yes – partially consulted, or consultations were delayed (please clarify below)	
c) no – did not consult immediately (please clarify below)	
d) not applicable (no such occurrences)	X

30. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Without a legal framework in place during the reporting period, unintentional transboundary movement was not monitored

31. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (A	transported under	
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) not yet, but under development	X	
c) no		
d) not applicable (please clarify below)		
32. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying liv organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environmentation of the information? (Article 18.2(a))	'may contain' living	
a) yes		
b) not yet, but under development	X	
c) no		
33. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modified organisms and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the living modified organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))		
a) yes		
b) not yet, but under development	X	
c) no		
34. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))		
a) yes		
b) not yet, but under development	X	
c) no		
35. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as a description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
During the reporting period no decisions was made on any importation (eith introduction, or contained use) of LMOs as all request is still being assessed by GMA		

Article 19 – Competent national authorities and national focal points

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 – Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

36. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Malaysia has posted all relevant information on the BCH Central Portal. Malaysia has also used the BCH to obtain information among others on:

- a. decisions on LMOs
- b. laws, regulations of other Parties
- c. contact details of Focal points

C. Contact details of Found		
Article 21 – Confidential information		
37. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)		
a) yes		
b) not yet, but under development	X	
c) no		
38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)		
a) yes		
If yes, please give number of cases	X- in all cases	
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a Party of import / no such requests received		
39. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:		
Under the current administrative system, confidentiality is allowed for. The proponents usually request for technical information which was given to be confidential. As the focal point, NRE assesses the request and treat the information as confidential and GMAC members have to maintain the		

Under the newly passed Biosafety Law, there is a provision on Confidential Business Information.

40. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:

confidentiality of the information. The confidentiality of information is also part of the term of reference

NA

of GMAC.

Article 22 – Capacity-building

41. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooperated in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with economies in transition?		
a) yes (please give details below)		
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a developed country Party	X	
42. If yes to question 41, how has such cooperation taken place:		
43. If a developing country Party, or Party with an economy in transition, during this reporting period has your country contributed to the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in another developing country Party or Party with an economy in transition?		
a) yes (please give details below)	X	
b) no		
c) not applicable – not a developing country Party		
44. If yes to question 43, how has such cooperation taken place:		
Malaysia (University of Malaya) offers a Post Graduate Diploma on Biosafety with the assistance of UNIDO to participants from around the region.		
45. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of the extent that it is required for biosafety?		
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)		
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)		
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area		
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition		
46. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk biosafety?		
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)		
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)		
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area		
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy		

in transition	
47. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and institutional capacities in biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	

48. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Malaysia has started to implement the UNDP/GEF Capacity Building Project on Biosafety in March 2007. This 3 year project will address all the matters raised above.

Article 23 – Public awareness and participation

49. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health? (Article 23.1(a))	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
50. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Proteinported? (Article 23.1(b))	
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Prote	
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Prote imported? (Article 23.1(b))	ocol that may be
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Prote imported? (Article 23.1(b)) a) yes – fully	ocol that may be
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Prote imported? (Article 23.1(b)) a) yes – fully b) yes – limited extent	ocol that may be X onsult the public in the
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Prote imported? (Article 23.1(b)) a) yes – fully b) yes – limited extent c) no 52. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, co decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the resu	ocol that may be X onsult the public in the
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Prote imported? (Article 23.1(b)) a) yes – fully b) yes – limited extent c) no 52. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, co decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the result available to the public? (Article 23.2)	ocol that may be X onsult the public in the

53. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Biosafety Clearing-House? (Article 23.3)		
a) yes – fully		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no	X	
54. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, including any ob impediments encountered:		
There is no legal requirement for this at the moment. The involvement of a NGO in GMAC to a certain degree takes into account the participation of the public in decision making. There is a growing awareness about biosafety and biotechnology among the public. The mass media has also played a role in creating this awareness, which can be further enhanced with the participation of more stakeholders.		
With the passing of the Biosafety Law in July 2007 there will be a legal requestricipation once this law is in force.	airement for public	
Article 24 – Non-Parties		
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-H	louse.	
55. Have there been any transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party during the reporting period?		
a) yes		
b) no	X	
56. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:		
There were no decisions to import LMOs during the reporting period.		
Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.		
57. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, as appropriate, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of its domestic measures? (Article 25.1)		
a) yes		
b) no	X	
58. Have there been any illegal transboundary movements of living modified organisms into your country during the reporting period?		
a) yes		
b) no	X	

59. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Without a legal framework in place, there is no mechanism to monitor illegal transboundary movements of LMOs

Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations

60. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it take into account socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological diversity to indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)		
a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import	X	
61. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio- economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.2)		
a) yes – significant extent		
b) yes – limited extent		
c) no	X	
62. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
During the reporting period, there were no decisions made on the import of LMOs.		

Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources

63. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your Government made financial resources available to

other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.		
a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties		
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	X	
c) both		
d) neither		

64. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Malaysia started implementing the UNDP/GEF Capacity building project in March 2007. This 3 year project received a funding assistance from GEF and is executed by UNDP. NRE is the focal point and the implementing agency in Malaysia for this project.

Other information

65. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions in the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:

NA

Comments on reporting format

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions:

NA