# FIRST REGULAR NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

# Origin of report

Party:	Barbados
Contact officer for report	
Name and title of contact officer:	Mr. Lionel Nurse National Focal Point for the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety
Mailing address:	Ministry of Energy and the Environment  1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, S.P.Musson Building,  Hinck Street  Bridgetown,  BARBADOS
Telephone:	(246) 467-5700/5721
Fax:	(246) 437-8859
E-mail:	envirobdos@gob.bb
Submission	
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	
Date of submission:	
Time period covered by this report:	2005-2007

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its preparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report:

The report was prepared by the officers of the Ministry of Energy and the Environment who were
involved in the management of the National Biosafety Framework (NBF) Development Project.

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH), describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary):

The provision of information to the BCH was impeded by the lack of understanding of the full range of information that a Party is required to make available to the BCH.

2. Please provide an overview of information that is required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

Type of information	Information	Information	Information
	exists and is	exists but is not	does not exist
	being provided to	yet provided to	/not
	the Biosafety	the Biosafety	applicable
	Clearing-House	Clearing-House	
a) Existing national legislation, regulations and			V
guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well			X- Information is not available
as information required by Parties for the			because it does not
advance informed agreement procedure			exist.
(Article 20.3(a))			
b) National laws, regulations and guidelines	X- Draft NBF posted on		
applicable to the import of LMOs intended for	the BCH		
direct use as food or feed, or for processing			
(Article 11.5);			
c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements			X- Information is
and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and			not available
24.1);			because it does not
	**		exist.
d) Contact details for competent national	X		
authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national			
focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and			
emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));			
e) In cases of multiple competent national			X- Not
authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles			applicable
19.2 and 19.3);			
f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the		X The Interim	
operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));		National Report on the	
		Implementation of the Catgagena Protocol on	
		Biosafety.	

g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1);			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
Type of information	Information exists and is being provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information exists but is not yet provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information does not exist /not applicable
h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
l) Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d))			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)	X		
n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1);			X- Information is not available because it does not exist.

q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).	X- Information is not available because it does not exist.
---	--

### Article 2 – General provisions

3. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)		
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)		
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)	X	
c) no measures yet taken		

4. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Prior to the entry into force of the Protocol, the Government of Barbados had taken a number of interim measures to ensure compliance with the Protocol. These include the designation of :

- National Focal Point for the Protocol;
- National Focal Point for the Biosafety Clearing House;
- National Competent Authority
- Contact Point for the purpose of receiving notifications on unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measure; and
- Declaration of the procedure for communicating decisions regarding LMOs intended for direct use as food, feed or for processing.

Since then the country has taken a series of important steps towards the further implementation of the Protocol. Through our participation in the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP)/Global Environmental Facility (GEF) Biosafety Project on Development of National Biosafety Frameworks, Barbados has defined the National Administrative and Regulatory structures required for the management of living modified organisms. Currently, Barbados and other countries in the Caribbean sub-region have commenced a further dialogue with the UNEP for funding support for the implementation of the National Biosafety Frameworks through a Regional Project.

Despite our progress in meeting our obligations under the Protocol since becoming a Party in 2002, the existing human, technical and financial resources are some of the key challenges to fully establish and operate the required National Administrative and Regulatory regimes.

## Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

5.	Were you a Party of import during this reporting period?	
	a) yes	
	b) no	X
6.	Were you a Party of export during this reporting period?	
	a) yes	
	b) no	X

jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)			
a) yes			
b) not yet, but under development	X		
c) no			
d) not applicable – not a Party of export			
8. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Parreview a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12.			
a) yes (please give details below)			
b) not yet, but under development			
c) no			
d) not applicable – not a Party of export	X		
9. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frame by Article 9.2(c).	eworks as allowed		
a) yes			
b) no			
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X		
10. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:			
Country was not a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period.			
11. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:			
Country has not taken any decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period.			
Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing			
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.			
12. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)			
a) yes			
b) not yet, but under development	X		
c) no			

7. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters 1/ under the

<sup>1/</sup> The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

d) not applicable (please give details below)		
13. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and capacity-building in respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.9)		
a) yes (please give details below)	X- During the reporting period, the country has been involved in discussions with UNEP for the support for the development of a regional project for the implementation of the NBFs in the region.	
b) no		
c) not relevant		
14. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 11.4?		
a) yes		
b) no	X	
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period		
15. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Although the country has been a Party of export of LMO's intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, the interim procedures to regulate the export of this category of LMOs were never developed nationally.		
16. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for		

Article 13 – Simplified procedure

processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing

Although the country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, the interim procedures to regulate the export of this category of

Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

LMOs were never developed nationally.

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

17. Have you applied the simplified procedure during the reporting period?		
a) yes		
b) no	X	
18. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, please describe your experiences in implementing Article 13, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Not applicable		

# Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

19. Has your country entered into any bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements?	
a) yes	
b) no	X
20. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	
Not applicable	

# Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

21. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments carried out for all decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)		
a) yes		
b) no (please clarify below)		
c) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X	
22. If yes to question 21, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment	?	
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X	
23. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you require the notifier to bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)		
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no		
d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10	X	
24. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures and strategies to regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the Protocol? (Article 16.1)		
a) yes – fully established		
b) not yet, but under development or partially established (please give further details below)	X	
c) no		

25. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)	ndary movements
a) yes – fully adopted	
b) not yet, but under development or partially adopted (please give further details below)	X
c) no	
26. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)	
a) yes – in all cases	
b) yes – in some cases (please give further details below)	
c) no (please give further details below)	
d) not applicable (please give further details below)	X
27. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5	?
a) yes (please give further details below)	
b) no (please give further details below)	X
28. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, including impediments encountered:	
The appropriate mechanisms for the regulation and management of risks identified in provisions of the Protocol are included in the National Biosafety Framework and w through the operation of the framework.	
Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency me	asures
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-H	ouse.
29. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism thave had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biolog taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately compotentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?	hat had, or could ical diversity,
a) yes – all relevant States immediately	
b) yes – partially consulted, or consultations were delayed (please clarify below)	
c) no – did not consult immediately (please clarify below)	
d) not applicable (no such occurrences)	X
30. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impencountered:	_

Not applicable (no such occurrences)

# Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification

31. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that are transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (A	transported under
a) yes (please give details below)	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	
d) not applicable (please clarify below)	
32. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying liver organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environmentation of the information? (Article 18.2(a))	'may contain' living
a) yes	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	
33. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying live organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modifies specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))	d organisms and et point for further
a) yes	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	
34. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Pa any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifie modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, and the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18)	arty of import and s them as living y requirements for and, as appropriate, movement is in
a) yes	
b) not yet, but under development	X
c) no	
35. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obtaining impediments encountered:	
Currently living modified organisms for direct use as food, feed, or for processing a the required regulatory scrutiny as stipulated in Article 18. However, these and provided for, and advanced under the Protocol are included in the NBF to be establish	all other measures

# Article 19 – Competent national authorities and national focal points See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 – Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

36. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Barbados' participation in the UNEP-GEF BCH Project on Building Capacity for the Effective Implementation of the BCH will further strengthen our capacity to share and exchange information on, and experience with, LMOs. Through this project stakeholders with mandates relevant to the Biosafety will be trained on the access, use and input of relevant data through the BCH.

of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article 21.3)  a) yes  b) not yet, but under development  C) no  38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of	Article 21 – Confidential information	
b) not yet, but under development  C) no  38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of	and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable than its treatment of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified organisms? (Article	
c) no  38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of	a) yes	
38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of	b) not yet, but under development	X
information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of	c) no	
the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)		arty of import as part of
a) yes	a) yes	
If yes, please give number of cases	If yes, please give number of cases	
b) no	b) no	
applicable, n	c) not applicable – not a Party of import / no such requests received	applicable, not a Party of
39. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:		your experience
Not applicable	Vot applicable	
40. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:		
Not applicable	Vot applicable	

# Article 22 – Capacity-building

41. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooper development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in b purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with extransition?	oiosafety for the s, in particular the
a) yes (please give details below)	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a developed country Party	X
42. If yes to question 41, how has such cooperation taken place:	
Not applicable – not a developed country Party	
43. If a developing country Party, or Party with an economy in transition, during this your country contributed to the development and/or strengthening of human resources capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protoco developing country Party or Party with an economy in transition?	and institutional
a) yes (please give details below)	
b) no	X
c) not applicable – not a developing country Party	
44. If yes to question 43, how has such cooperation taken place:	
Not applicable – not a developing country Party	
45. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of the extent that it is required for biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	
46. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	

47. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you benefited from
cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and institutional
capacities in biosafety?

a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	X
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	

48. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Although the NBF Development Project has contributed immensely towards building institutional capacity in biosafety, the assessments undertaken as part of the project reveals that the existing human and technical resources are inadequate to effectively implement the provisions of the Protocol. The particularly areas of note include, but are not limited to:

- the enhancement of technological and institutional capacities in biosafety.
- scientific and technical training in the proper and safe management of biotechnology;
- scientific and technical training in the use of risk assessment and risk management for biosafety;
- training in economic impact assessment;
- training in emergency response measures;
- training in public education and dissemination of information; and
- scientific and technical training in the possible changes of biosafety in the trade of LMO.

## Article 23 – Public awareness and participation

49. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning

the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the consustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health?	ervation and
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
50. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X

51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education encor information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Protocol thin ported? (Article 23.1(b))	
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X
52. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, consult decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the results of available to the public? (Article 23.2)	
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X- no decision making process in place.
53. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Bios House? (Article 23.3)	afety Clearing-
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X
54. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, including any ob impediments encountered:	
Although a functional National Biosafety Awareness and Education Programme established, some national debate on Biosafety issues has occurred through the execut consultations and workshops under the NBF Development Project. The prepa document was facilitated through a consultative process to ensure that all relevan consulted and that the final product reflects national needs and priorities. Stakeholders of the proposed structure and functioning of the NBF regime and were involve in the key elements to form the implementation phase project to make the framework a realit	ion of stakeholders' ration of the NBF t stakeholders were s were also sensitize identification of the
Barbados will seek to further comply with the requirements of Article 23 through the National Biosafety Awareness and Education Programme as part of the implementation the NBF.	
Article 24 – Non-Parties	
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-H	louse.
55. Have there been any transboundary movements of living modified organisms betwand a non-Party during the reporting period?	veen your country
a) yes	
b) no	X
· ·	

56. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between your country and a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no transboundary movement of living modified organisms between Barbados and a non-Party.

# *Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements*

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-H	louse.
57. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of measures? (Article 25.1)	
a) yes	
b) no	X
58. Have there been any illegal transboundary movements of living modified organism country during the reporting period?	ns into your
a) yes	
b) no	X
59. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well a your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or impencountered:	
The appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize illegal transboundary modified organisms will be developed and adopted through the implementation at national administrative and legislative framework.	
Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations	
60. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it to socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms of and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)	on the conservation
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
d) not a Party of import	X
61. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchaeconomic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local co (Article 26.2)	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X

62. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Not applicable - not a Party of import

### Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources

63. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your Government made financial resources available to other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes of implementation of the Protocol.

a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties	
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	X
c) both	
d) neither	

64. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

The Government of Barbados received financial resources under the UNEP-GEF sponsored project for the development of its NBF. The GEF Trust Fund contributed US\$ 135,000.00 to the project whilst the Government of Barbados contributed US\$ 84,166.66 at the inception as its counterpart contribution. Initially the project was scheduled for 18 months. However, the project had to be officially extended for an additional 26 months. Whilst these extensions bore no cost to UNEP, the additional cost to the Government of Barbados (GOB) resulted in an overall counterpart contribution of US\$183,255.46

Considering the substantive amount of financial resources required to meet our capacity building needs as identified in Section 48 of this report, the issue of funding remains a challenge particularly as the indicative RAF allocation per country stands at US\$1 million to cover Biodiversity and Biosafety over the four (4) year GEF 4 cycle.

### Other information

65. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions in the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:

### Comments on reporting format

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions:

The format for the report has been significantly improved as compared with that for the Interim Report. With the inclusion of additional choices for responding to the questions, it allowed for the provision of answers that best reflects the national situation in relation to the implementation of the Protocol.