FIRST REGULAR NATIONAL REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CARTAGENA PROTOCOL ON BIOSAFETY

Origin of report

Party:	Austria
Contact officer for report	
Name and title of contact officer:	Dr. Helmut Gaugitsch, Head of Unit
	Dr.Michel Haas, Director
Mailing address:	Federal Environment Agency
	Spittelauer Lände 5
	A 1090 Vienna
	Federal Ministry for Health and Women
	Radetzkystr.2.
	A 1030 Vienna;
Telephone:	+43 (1) 31304 – 3133
	+43 (1) 71100 - 4845
Fax:	+43 (1) 31304 – 3700
	+43 (1) 715 2405
E-mail:	helmut.gaugitsch@umweltbundesamt.at
	Michel.haas@bmgfj.gv.at
Submission	
Signature of officer responsible for submitting report:	
Date of submission:	6 September 2007
Time period covered by this report:	Between entry into force of the Cartagena Protocol on 11 September 2003 and 31 August 2007

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have been actively involved in its preparation and on material which was used as a basis for the report:

The report has been prepared by the Federal Environment Agency with input from the main competent authority, the Federal Ministry of Health, Family and Youth as well as with input form the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management.

The material used as the basis was the Austrian legislative framework and other relevant documents.

1. Several articles of the Protocol require that information be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (see the list below). For your Government, if there are cases where relevant information exists but has not been provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH), describe any obstacles or impediments encountered regarding provision of that information (note: To answer this question, please check the BCH to determine the current status of your country's information submissions relative to the list of required information below. If you do not have access to the BCH, contact the Secretariat for a summary):

The Austrian national biosafety clearing house is in place (http://www.biodiv.at/bch) but we have experienced difficulties with respect to regular updates. Due to time constraints at the Austrian National Focal point for the BCH we have not found the time to perform these updates but will do so in the near future.

It is not fully clear to us, how to implement the information via a two-track approach (Austrian BCH and information provided to the SCBD directly).

2. Please provide an overview of information that is required to be provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House:

Type of information	Information	Information	Information
	exists and is	exists but is not	does not exist
	being provided to	yet provided to	/not
	the Biosafety	the Biosafety	applicable
	Clearing-House	Clearing-House	
a) Existing national legislation, regulations and	X		
guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well			
as information required by Parties for the			
advance informed agreement procedure			
(Article 20.3(a))			
b) National laws, regulations and guidelines	X		
applicable to the import of LMOs intended for			
direct use as food or feed, or for processing			
(Article 11.5);			
c) Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements			X
and arrangements (Articles 14.2, 20.3(b), and			A
24.1);			
d) Contact details for competent national	X		
authorities (Articles 19.2 and 19.3), national			
focal points (Articles 19.1 and 19.3), and			
emergency contacts (Article 17.2 and 17.3(e));			
e) In cases of multiple competent national	X		
authorities, responsibilities for each (Articles			
19.2 and 19.3);			
f) Reports submitted by the Parties on the		X	
operation of the Protocol (Article 20.3(e));			
1			

g) Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17.1); Type of information	Information exists and is being provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information exists but is not yet provided to the Biosafety Clearing-House	Information does not exist /not applicable
h) Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3);		S	X
i) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10.3 and 20.3(d));			X
j) Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4);			X
k) Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.1);		X	
1) Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11.4) or in accordance with annex III (Article 11.6) (requirement of Article 20.3(d))			X
m) Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11.6)			X
n) Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 12.1);			X
o) LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13.1)			X
p) Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1);			X
q) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information			X

regarding products thereof (Article 20.3(c)).		

Article 2 – General provisions

3. Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for implementation of the Protocol? (Article 2.1)		
a) full domestic regulatory framework in place (please give details below)	X	
b) some measures introduced (please give details below)		
c) no measures yet taken		

4. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 2, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

This has been implemented with the Austrian "Gentechnikgesetz" (updated in October 2004). See http://www.gentechnik.gv.at (in German).

A short English summary of our law can be found via the following link:

http://www.bmgf.gv.at/cms/site/detail.htm?thema=CH0254&doc=CMS1113384921462

Articles 7 to 10 and 12: The advance informed agreement procedure
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

5. Were you a Party of import during this reporting period?		
a) yes	X	
b) no		
6. Were you a Party of export during this reporting period?		
a) yes		
b) no	X	
7. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by exporters 1/ under the jurisdiction of your country? (Article 8.2)		
a) yes	X	
b) not yet, but under development		
c) no		
d) not applicable – not a Party of export		
8. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, did you request any Party of import to review a decision it had made under Article 10 on the grounds specified in Article 12.2?		
a) yes (please give details below)		

^{1/} The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

b) not yet, but under development		
c) no		
d) not applicable – not a Party of export	X	
9. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 9.2(c).		
a) yes		
b) no		
c) not applicable – no decisions taken during the reporting period	X	
10. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
11. If your country has taken decisions on import of LMOs intended for release into the environment during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Articles 7 to 10 and 12, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
No national decisions had to be taken.		

Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

12. Is there a legal requirement for the accuracy of information provided by the applicant with respect to the domestic use of a living modified organism that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.2)		
X		
13. Has your country indicated its needs for financial and technical assistance and capacity-building in respect of living modified organisms intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing? (Article 11.9)		
X		
14. Did your country take decisions regarding import under domestic regulatory frameworks as allowed by Article 11.4?		
X		

- 15. If your country has been a Party of export of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:
- 16. If your country has been a Party of import of LMOs intended for direct use for food or feed, or for processing, during the reporting period, please describe your experiences and progress in implementing Article 11, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Not applicable.

Article 13 – Simplified procedure

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

17. Have you applied the simplified procedure during the reporting period?	
a) yes	
b) no	X
18. If your country has used the simplified procedure during the reporting period, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, please describe your experiences in implementing Article 13, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

19. Has your country entered into any bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements?	
a) yes	
b) no	X
20. If your country has entered into bilateral, regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements, or if you have been unable to do so for some reason, describe your experiences in implementing Article 14 during the reporting period, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:	

Articles 15 and 16 – Risk assessment and risk management

21. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, were risk assessments carried out for all decisions taken under Article 10? (Article 15.2)		
a) yes	X	
b) no (please clarify below)		
c) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10		
22. If yes to question 21, did you require the exporter to carry out the risk assessment	?	
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no	X	

d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10		
23. If you took a decision under Article 10 during the reporting period, did you requir bear the cost of the risk assessment? (Article 15.3)	re the notifier to	
a) yes – in all cases		
b) yes – in some cases (please specify the number and give further details below)		
c) no	X	
d) not a Party of import / no decisions taken under Article 10		
24. Has your country established and maintained appropriate mechanisms, measures a regulate, manage and control risks identified in the risk assessment provisions of the F 16.1)		
a) yes – fully established	X	
b) not yet, but under development or partially established (please give further details below)		
c) no		
25. Has your country adopted appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transbour of living modified organisms? (Article 16.3)	ndary movements	
a) yes – fully adopted	X	
b) not yet, but under development or partially adopted (please give further details below)		
c) no		
26. Does your country endeavour to ensure that any living modified organism, whether locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period of observation commensurate with generation time before it is put to its intended use? (Article 16.4)		
a) yes – in all cases	X	
b) yes – in some cases (please give further details below)		
c) no (please give further details below)		
d) not applicable (please give further details below)		
27. Has your country cooperated with others for the purposes specified in Article 16.5	5?	
a) yes (please give further details below)	X	
b) no (please give further details below)		
28. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Articles 15 and 16, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:		
Austria has objected to nearly all applications of marketing or cultivating genetically modified plants as the undertaken environmental and health risk assessments seem incomplete so far. For those reasons Austria has also maintained four national safeguard measures with regard to GMOs authorised for use in the EU for the time being.		

Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

29. During the reporting period, if there were any occurrences under your jurisdiction have led, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a living modified organism have had, significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biolog taking also into account risks to human health in such States, did you immediately corpotentially affected States for the purposes specified in Article 17.4?	that had, or could ical diversity,
a) yes – all relevant States immediately	
b) yes – partially consulted, or consultations were delayed (please clarify below)	
c) no – did not consult immediately (please clarify below)	
d) not applicable (no such occurrences)	X
30. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well a your country's experiences in implementing Article 17, including any obstacles or impencountered:	•
Article 18 – Handling, transport, packaging and identification	
31. Has your country taken measures to require that living modified organisms that ar transboundary movement within the scope of the Protocol are handled, packaged and conditions of safety, taking into account relevant international rules and standards? (A	transported under
a) yes (please give details below)	X
b) not yet, but under development	
c) no	
d) not applicable (please clarify below)	
32. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying liver organisms for direct use as food or feed, or for processing, clearly identifies that they modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environmentation of the information? (Article 18.2(a))	'may contain' living
a) yes	X
b) not yet, but under development	
c) no	
33. Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying liver organisms that are destined for contained use clearly identifies them as living modifies specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom organisms are consigned? (Article 18.2(b))	d organisms and et point for further
a) yes	X
b) not yet, but under development	
c) no	

34. Has your country adopted measures to require that documentation accompanying living modified
organisms that are intended for intentional introduction into the environment of the Party of import and
any other living modified organisms within the scope of the Protocol, clearly identifies them as living
modified organisms; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for
the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate,
the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in
conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter? (Article 18.2(c))

a) yes	X
b) not yet, but under development	
c) no	

35. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as a description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 18, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

See response of the EC.

c) no

Article 19 – Competent national authorities and national focal points

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

Article 20 – Information-sharing and the Biosafety Clearing-House

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

36. In addition to the response to question 1, please describe any further details regarding your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 20, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

The Umweltbundesamt (Austrian Federal Environment Agency) is national focal point for the BCH. The current status and problems encountered can be found in the response to question 1 above.

Article 21 – Confidential information

37. Does your country have procedures to protect confidential information received u and that protect the confidentiality of such information in a manner no less favourable of confidential information in connection with domestically produced living modified 21.3)	than its treatment
a) yes	X
b) not yet, but under development	

38. If you were a Party of import during this reporting period, did you permit any notifier to identify information submitted under the procedures of the Protocol or required by the Party of import as part of the advance informed agreement procedure that was to be treated as confidential? (Article 21.1)

a) yes	
If yes, please give number of cases	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a Party of import / no such requests received	X

- 39. If you answered yes to the previous question, please provide information on your experience including description of any impediments or difficulties encountered:
- 40. If you were a Party of export during this reporting period, please describe any impediments or difficulties encountered by you, or by exporters under your jurisdiction if information is available, in the implementation of the requirements of Article 21:

Article 22 – Capacity-building

41. If a developed country Party, during this reporting period has your country cooperated in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protocol in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States among them, and in Parties with economies in transition?

a) yes (please give details below)	X
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a developed country Party	

42. If yes to question 41, how has such cooperation taken place:

The Umweltbundesamt has been active in various capacity building projects, mainly in the area of Twinning (EU-PHARE) and UNEP/GEF:

Twinning (in preparation for EU accession):

Twinning light Biosafety Chemicals/GMOs Lithuania (8 months, completed 2003)

Twinning Biosafety Poland (2 years, together with Germany, completed 2004)

Twinning Biosafety Slovak Republic (1 year, lead, completed in autumn 2005)

Twinning Biosafety Bulgaria (24 months, running until October 2007, together with Germany)

Twinning Coexistence Estonia (18 months until September 2007, together with Germany)

EU TAEIX Mission to Romania (June 2006)

UNEP/GEF:

Training course (Risk assessment etc.) in Lithuania, autumn 2003

Final Workshop (Moderator, input on monitoring and public information) in Croatia, December 2004 Training workshop on monitoring in Bucharest, Romania (March 2005)

Training/expert workshops on public participation and inspection, Belgrade/Serbia (December 2005, March 2006)

UNEP/GEF Workshop on secondary biosafety legislation in Vietnam (October 2006)

Review of NBF-Documents: NBF Romania (November 2005), NBF Malta (October 2006)

Several other projects have been performed as well, such as collaboration in the MATRA project (funded and led by the Dutch government) in the CEE region (1999 – 2001), GTZ workshop on GMOs in Beijing/China (July 2004), participation at the International Forum for GMS countries on sharing experiences on implementing biotechnology and biosafety policies and regulations (Bangkok/Thailand, June 2007)

The main competent authority, the Federal Ministry of Health, Family and Yout involved in some of these projects as well.	h has been actively
43. If a developing country Party, or Party with an economy in transition, during this your country contributed to the development and/or strengthening of human resources capacities in biosafety for the purposes of the effective implementation of the Protoco developing country Party or Party with an economy in transition?	and institutional
a) yes (please give details below)	
b) no	
c) not applicable – not a developing country Party	X
44. If yes to question 43, how has such cooperation taken place:	
45. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the proper and safe management of the extent that it is required for biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	X
46. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training in the use of risk assessment and risk biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	X
47. If a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition, have you be cooperation for technical and scientific training for enhancement of technological and capacities in biosafety?	
a) yes – capacity-building needs fully met (please give details below)	
b) yes – capacity-building needs partially met (please give details below)	
c) no – capacity-building needs remain unmet (please give details below)	
d) no – we have no unmet capacity-building needs in this area	
e) not applicable – not a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition	X

48. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 22, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Article 23 – Public awareness and participation

49. Does your country promote and facilitate public awareness, education and particip the safe transfer, handling and use of living modified organisms in relation to the consequence sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health?	ervation and
a) yes – significant extent	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
50. If yes, do you cooperate with other States and international bodies?	
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
51. Does your country endeavour to ensure that public awareness and education encon information on living modified organisms identified in accordance with the Protocol th imported? (Article 23.1(b))	
a) yes – fully	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
52. Does your country, in accordance with its respective laws and regulations, consult decision-making process regarding living modified organisms and make the results of available to the public? (Article 23.2)	
a) yes – fully	X
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
53. Has your country informed its public about the means of public access to the Biosa House? (Article 23.3)	afety Clearing-
a) yes – fully	
b) yes – limited extent	X
c) no	
54. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 23, including any obsimpediments encountered:	
The national BCH (with all its links) has been online for some time and thereby public The Website of the main competent authority (http://www.gentechnik.gv.at) contain	

available information, which is used.

Article 24 – Non-Parties

See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-House.

55. Have there been any transboundary movements of living modified organisms betward a non-Party during the reporting period?	veen your country
a) yes	X
b) no	
56. If there have been transboundary movements of living modified organisms between a non-Party, please provide information on your experience, including description of a difficulties encountered:	
See response by the EC.	
Article 25 – Illegal transboundary movements	
See question 1 regarding provision of information to the Biosafety Clearing-H	Iouse.
57. Has your country adopted appropriate domestic measures to prevent and penalize, transboundary movements of living modified organisms carried out in contravention of measures? (Article 25.1)	
a) yes	X
b) no	
58. Have there been any illegal transboundary movements of living modified organism country during the reporting period?	ns into your
a) yes	X
b) no	
59. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well a your country's experiences in implementing Article 25, including any obstacles or impencountered:	
Some incidents of illegal or unintended t.m. (Bt 10 maize, LL 601 rice). See response	by the EC.X
Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations	
60. If during this reporting period your country has taken a decision on import, did it to socio-economic considerations arising from the impact of living modified organisms of and sustainable use of biological diversity, especially with regard to the value of biological indigenous and local communities? (Article 26.1)	on the conservation
a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	
d) not a Party of import	X
61. Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange economic impacts of living modified organisms, especially on indigenous and local conference (Article 26.2)	

a) yes – significant extent	
b) yes – limited extent	
c) no	X

62. Please provide further details about your responses to the above questions, as well as description of your country's experiences and progress in implementing Article 26, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

No detailed experience. We have an article dealing with socio-economic considerations in our law but this has not been invoked so far.

On the matter of co-existence see response by the EC. On the regional level the federal provinces of Austria have established so called precautionary GMO laws in order to implement in practice measures which can contribute to co-existence (see http://www.bmgfj.gv.at/cms/site/detail.htm?thema=CH0264&doc=CMS1119611978841 in German language).

Article 28 – Financial mechanism and resources

63. Please indicate if, during the reporting period, your Government made financial resources available to
other Parties or received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions, for the purposes
of implementation of the Protocol.

a) yes – made financial resources available to other Parties	
b) yes – received financial resources from other Parties or financial institutions	
c) both	
d) neither	X

64. Please provide further details about your response to the above question, as well as description of your country's experiences, including any obstacles or impediments encountered:

Other information

65. Please use this box to provide any other information related to articles of the Protocol, questions in the reporting format, or other issues related to national implementation of the Protocol:

Comments on reporting format

The wording of these questions is based on the Articles of the Protocol. Please provide information on any difficulties that you have encountered in interpreting the wording of these questions: