# Caribbean Sea Ecosystem Assessment CARSEA

Professor John Agard Department of Life Sciences University of the West Indies St Augustine Trinidad and Tobago john.agard@sta.uwi.edu

# **Defining Features**

 CARSEA is one of 33 sub-global assessments that wass part of the global Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MA) launched by the UN Secretary General in 2001



### CARSEA

### WHAT IS THE PROBLEM BEING ADDRESSED?

-THE CARIBBEAN SEA IS DIFFICULT TO MANAGE AS A SINGLE LARGE MARINE ECOSYSTEM BECAUSE IT IS IMPACTED BY A COMPLICATED AMALGAM OF STAKEHOLDERS FROM WITHIN AND OUTSIDE THE REGION



### WHAT ARE THE GOALS?

to advance the operationalization of the Caribbean Sea Commission of the ACS as it develops a <u>holistic</u> <u>governance framework</u> that will enable all stakeholders to contribute to managing the Caribbean Sea in a manner that will maintain its goods and services that are essential to human well-being

to contribute to the implementation of Resolution 57/261 of the UN General Assembly on 20 December 2003 "Promoting an integrated management approach to the Caribbean Sea area in the context of sustainable development"

#### WHAT ARE THE OBJECTIVES?

 to advance the case for the recognition of the Caribbean Sea by the international community as a "<u>Special Area in the context of Sustainable</u> <u>Development</u>" which is on the agenda of the UN General Assembly in 2008. THE ARGUMENT IN BRIEF: The Caribbean Sea is special because it has the most Geopolitical components of complexity of any Large Marine Ecosystem (LME) in the world Caribbean Sea



# The Caribbean Sea is special because it has the highest number of maritime boundaries of any LME in the world



# The Caribbean Sea is special because it has the largest number of Small Island Developing States of any LME in the world

Caribbean Sea



The Caribbean Sea is one of the busiest shipping regions in the world

(yellow areas show highest number of ship observations by the International Maritime Organisation (IMO))



## WHAT ARE THE GOALS?

■ to advance the case for developing a <u>holistic governance</u> <u>framework</u> that will enable all the stakeholders to contribute to managing the Caribbean Sea in a manner that will maintain its goods and services that are essential to human well-being

to contribute to the implementation of Resolution 57/261 of the UN General Assembly on 20 December 2003 "<u>Promoting an integrated management approach to</u> <u>the Caribbean Sea area in the context of sustainable</u> <u>development</u>"

# WHAT ARE THE OBJECTIVES?

 to examine whether there is scientific support for the recognition of the Caribbean Sea by the international community as a "<u>Special Area in</u> <u>the context of Sustainable Development</u>" which was on the agenda of the UN General Assembly in 2003 and 2006.



## POTENTIAL ECOSYSTEM SERVICES FROM THE CARIBBEAN SEA

#### **Provisioning**

Goods produced or provided by ecosystems.

Food e.g. fishFreshwater

- Diochamical

•Biochemical's

#### **Regulating**

Benefits obtained from regulation of ecosystem processes

- •Climate regulation
- •Disease control
- •Detoxification

#### **Supporting**

Services that maintain the condition for life in the sea Nutrient cycling e.g. mangroves, coral reefs, plankton

#### <u>Cultural</u>

Non-material benefits obtained from ecosystems

- •Recreational
- •Aesthetic
- •Inspirational
- •Educational

## WHAT IS THE CONTENT OF THE PROJECT ?

Through exploring the guiding questions the CARSEA project has attempted to :

- document the <u>condition</u> and trends of Caribbean Sea ecosystems
- explore plausible <u>scenarios</u> making use of various storylines about likely events and realistic assumptions
- consider a range of possible <u>responses</u>

# Assessment Outline

1. Conditions and Trends Assessment	
1. Fish production	1950-2006
2. <u>Amenity value (e.g. Tourism</u> )	1990-2003
3. <u>Biodiversity</u>	
-Coral reef cover	1977-2002
-Mangrove cover	1990-2000
2. Scenarios	present to 2050

3. Response Options

#### **Findings of Fact # 1:**

#### FISH CATCHES HAVE BEEN DECLINING SINCE 1998



# The Caribbean Sea fish catch is worth about 1 billion US\$ per annum



#### Findings of Fact # 2:

## THE MEAN TROPHIC LEVEL OF THE CARIBBEAN SEA HAS BEEN DECLINING SINCE 1956



## Findings of Fact # 3: FISH <u>CATCH PER UNIT EFFORT (CPUE) HAS</u> DECLINED SIGNIFICANTLY IN THE SOUTH-EASTERN CARIBBEAN SINCE 1980.

Fishery Statistic	Grenada & Grenadines	St Lucia	St Vincent & Grenadines	Barbados
Inshore				
Catch (tonnes)	-12	+36	+64	+16
Effort (10 <sup>3</sup> Hp-days)	+42	+133	+4	+134
<b>CPUE</b> (tonnes per 10 <sup>3</sup> Hp- days)	-38	-24	+58	-71
<u>Offshore</u>				
Catch (tonnes)	+129	+143	-29	+36
Effort (10 <sup>3</sup> Hp-days)	+598	+513	+170	+339
CPUE	-67	-65	-52	-69

#### Findings of fact # 4:

## Fisheries jobs, income and fish protein

- 504,913 jobs
- >US\$1 billion in exports
- 7% of total protein consumption in Caribbean

Findings of fact: Amenity Value, Tourism Jobs and Income

THE INSULAR CARIBBEAN IS THE MOST DEPENDENT REGION IN THE WORLD ON TOURISM RELATIVE TO ITS SIZE

- Direct impact:
- 567,870 jobs
- US\$ 6.5 billion contribution to GDP
- Indirect impact:
- 1,857,000 jobs (12% of total employment)
- US 23.1 billion contribution to GDP (13% of total GDP (#1 in the world relative to size)

# Ranking of Insular Caribbean Tourism compared to the rest of the world

Caribbean	2003	2013	
	<b>Relative Size</b>	<b>Relative Size</b>	
Personal & Travel Tourism	9	8	
Government Expenditures	1	1	
Capital Investment	1	1	
Visitor Exports	2	2	
Economy GDP	1	1	
Economy Employment	3	1	

<sup>1</sup>Total 13 Regions (161 countries). Best is number 1, worst is number 13

<sup>2</sup>Includes 23 insular Caribbean countries only.

(adapted from World Tourism and Travel Council <u>http://www.wttc.org/</u> (sub-menu: TSA Accounts, World Reports, Caribbean) accessed Nov. 17, 2003;

#### **BIODIVERSITY**:

# Long-term region-wide declines in Caribbean coral cover

(Gardner et al., Science express 2003)



What are the consequences of the decline in Caribbean coral cover for human wellbeing?

## Summary Of Estimated Values Of Selected Goods And Services Derived From Coral Reefs In The Caribbean (2000) And Estimated Potential Losses Due To Coral Reef Degradation (By 2015 And 2050) (after WRI, 2005)

<b>Good/Service</b>	Estimated Annual Value in 2000 US\$	<b>Estimated Future Annual</b> <b>Losses Due to Coral Reef</b> <b>Degradation</b>
<u>Fisheries</u>	312 million	loss of annual net benefits valued at US\$11-140 million
<u>Tourism and</u> <u>Recreation</u>	2.1 billion	region-wide loss of annual net benefits valued at an estimated US\$100-300 million
Shoreline Protection	0.7 - 2.2 billion	The estimated value of lost annual net benefits is estimated at US\$140-420 million
TOTAL	3.1 - 4.6 billion	<u>US\$350-870 million</u>

Direct Drivers: CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND CHANGE

Tropical Cyclone activity in the Caribbean 1901-2000



#### **DIRECT DRIVERS:**

#### FISH CATCHES BY FISHING GEAR USED



# Four Scenarios for the Caribbean Sea

- Neo-Plantation economy
  - Exploitation, short-term gain vs long-term costs
- Quality over Quantity
  - 'know your own limits', Niche tourism
- **Diversify Together** 
  - Regional Cooperation and diversification
- Growing Asymmetries
  - Selective permeability, FTAA

Exploring uncertainty with Scenarios -Focal questions

- What governance mechanisms for the Caribbean Sea can be used to reduce economic, social and environmental vulnerability of the region
- How can economic activity be organized and managed so that <u>natural resource benefits are</u> <u>distributed equitably</u> relative to the costs?
- Will current trends in the decline of Caribbean Sea coastal and marine ecosystems exceed <u>ecological</u> <u>thresholds</u> that may result in significant consequences for human well-being?

# **CARSEA** Scenario Storylines



**Approach to ecosystem services** 

# Major Findings:

• -Only the <u>Quality over Quantity</u> Scenario benefits ecosystems through its explicit policies and institutions to address the environment, otherwise high negative impacts on ecosystems are likely.

# How have we been responding?

Many programmes, projects, policies

# BUT

 not adequate in achieving sustainable management of the sea

# WHY?

- disconnected programmes/lack of cooperation
- ineffective legislation
- poor commitment

# For example look at the overlapping and nested fisheries related organisations in the Caribbean Sea

			WECAFC	USA Brazil Japan *French Guiana***	ICCAT	
		ACS Cuba *Aruba		Venezuela *Puerto Rico**	Angola Benin Cape Verde Canada Gabon Ghana France	
		WECAFC LAC		*Martinique*** *Guadeloupe*** *Netherlands Antilles *USVI**		
			CARIFORUM Dominican Republic		Ivory Coast Korea	
OLDE- PESCA	Colombia	Haiti Guyana Jamaica	<b>CARICOM</b> Bahamas Suriname	Barbados Belize Trinidad & Tobago *Turks & Caicos I.	Morocco Spain Portugal Senegal South Africa	
Bolivia El Salvador Ecuador Peru	OSPESCA Costa Rica Guatemala Honduras Mexico	Belize	OECS St. Kitts & Nevis Antigua & Barbuda Dominica St. Lucia	St. Vincent & Grenadines *Anguilla**** *British Virgin I.**** *Montserrat****	Sao Tome & Principe Uruguay USSR	
	Nicaragua   Panama		Grenada		* Associate States of ACS	
i					*** in ICCAT as USA Departments	
				Source: Robin Ma	ahon **** in ICCAT as UK	

#### PROPOSED FISHERIES GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK CARIBBEAN LARGE MARINE ECOSYSTEM PROJECT (CLME)





# Communication



