

# CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/14/WG.1/CRP.8/Corr.1  
19 May 2010

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

## SUBSIDIARY BODY ON SCIENTIFIC, TECHNICAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVICE

Fourteenth meeting

Nairobi, 10-21 May 2010

### WORKING GROUP I

Agenda item 4.4

#### **FURTHER WORK ON GAPS AND INCONSISTENCIES IN THE INTERNATIONAL REGULATORY FRAMEWORK ON INVASIVE ALIEN SPECIES INTRODUCED AS PETS, AQUARIUM AND TERRARIUM SPECIES, AS LIVE BAIT AND LIVE FOOD, AND BEST PRACTICES FOR ADDRESSING THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THEIR INTRODUCTION**

*Draft recommendation submitted by the Co-Chairs of Working Group I*

*Corrigendum*

#### *Section B, paragraph 4*

For the existing text of paragraph 4, *substitute*

4. *Recalling* decisions VI/23\*, VII/13, VIII/27 and IX/4, and *recognizing* the need to further facilitate and enhance the implementation of these decisions, *requests* the Executive Secretary to follow-up with the secretariats of the bodies referred to therein, as well as the other biodiversity-related multilateral environmental agreements and regional organizations, as appropriate, taking into account also additional introduction pathways such as hunting and sports fishing, the management of already established invasive alien species, and threats from invasive alien genotypes;

5. *Further requests* the Executive Secretary to:

(a) Compile existing information including any existing guidelines on invasive alien species and related management responses, reconciling the need for the gradual adaptation of biodiversity and ecosystems to climate change, with the need to mitigate the impacts of existing and potentially new invasive alien species;

(b) To incorporate progress and lessons learned on regional island collaboration to manage the threat of invasive alien species, including inter- and intra- regional exchanges and South-South cooperation, in the review of the programme of work on island biological diversity scheduled for the eleventh meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

-----

---

\* One representative entered a formal objection during the process leading to the adoption of this decision and underlined that he did not believe that the Conference of the Parties could legitimately adopt a motion or a text with a formal objection in place. A few representatives expressed reservations regarding the procedure leading to the adoption of this decision (see UNEP/CBD/COP/6/20, paras. 294-324).