

Response from Brazil

FOR ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION**QUESTIONNAIRE ON DOMESTIC NEEDS AND PRIORITIES AND THE PROPOSED ELEMENTS OF THE STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE NAGOYA PROTOCOL ON ACCESS TO GENETIC RESOURCES AND THE FAIR AND EQUITABLE SHARING OF BENEFITS ARISING FROM THEIR UTILIZATION****I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Article 22 of the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-sharing provides that Parties “shall cooperate in the capacity-building, capacity development and strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities to effectively implement this Protocol...”
2. In decision X/1, paragraph 17, the Conference of the Parties invited developing country Parties as well as Parties with economies in transition to submit information to the Secretariat regarding their needs in relation to capacity-building, capacity development and strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in order to effectively implement the Nagoya Protocol.
3. In accordance decision X/1, annex II, the first meeting of the Intergovernmental Committee for the Nagoya Protocol (ICNP) held in Montreal, Canada, from 5 to 10 June 2011, considered “measures to assist in the capacity-building, capacity development and strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in developing countries, in particular the least developed countries and small island developing States amongst them, and Parties with economies in transition, taking into account the needs identified by the Parties concerned for the implementation of the Protocol (Article 22)”.
4. To assist the Intergovernmental Committee in its consideration of this issue, the Secretariat prepared document UNEP/CBD/ICNP/1/4 which introduces possible elements of a strategic approach for capacity-building and development under the Nagoya Protocol. The Secretariat also prepared a synthesis of the views and information submitted by Parties, other Governments, international organizations, indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders, which was made available as document UNEP/CBD/ICNP/1/INF/3.
5. Furthermore, the capacity-building workshop on access and benefit-sharing held prior to the meeting of the Intergovernmental Committee, in Montreal, Canada, from 4 to 5 June 2011, identified capacity-building needs and priorities for the effective implementation of the Nagoya Protocol. These were annexed to the report of the workshop, which was made available to the Intergovernmental Committee as document UNEP/CBD/ICNP/1/INF/6.
6. The Intergovernmental Committee, in its recommendation 1/2, paragraph 1,¹ proposed the development of a strategic framework for capacity-building and development under the Nagoya Protocol on the basis of domestic needs and priorities identified by Parties, including those identified by indigenous and local communities, and the proposed elements contained in the annex of the recommendation, in accordance with Article 22 of the Protocol.
7. In paragraph 3 of the same recommendation, the Intergovernmental Committee requested the Executive Secretary to prepare, in consultation with Parties, a questionnaire to facilitate the submission of views and information on domestic needs and priorities and the proposed elements of the strategic framework, taking into account the deliberations of the first meeting of the Intergovernmental Committee and the outcomes of the workshop organized prior to that meeting.

¹ UNEP/CBD/ICNP/1/8 “Report of the first meeting of the Open-ended Ad-hoc Intergovernmental Committee for the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization”, Montreal, 5-10 June 2011.

8. In response to this request by the Intergovernmental Committee two draft questionnaires were prepared with a view to assist Parties, other Governments, international organizations, indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders to submit views and information on:

- (a) Domestic needs and priorities for capacity-building and development in support of the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-sharing,
- (b) Proposed elements of the strategic framework for capacity-building and development under the Nagoya Protocol.

9. By notification 2011-143 (ref. No. SCBD/ABS/VN/SG/77098) of 1 August 2011, Parties were invited to provide their comments to the draft versions of both questionnaires by 15 September 2011. As of 28 September, the Secretariat had received comments from Australia, Canada, the European Union, India and Mozambique. The final versions of the questionnaires, taking into account the comments received, are annexed to this document.

10. Parties, other Governments, international organizations, indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders are now invited to fill out the questionnaire on domestic needs and priorities (Annex I) and the questionnaire on proposed elements of the strategic framework for capacity-building and development under the Nagoya Protocol (Annex II) as soon as possible, and no later than 15 November 2011.

11. As requested in recommendation 1/2, paragraph 4, of the Intergovernmental Committee, the Executive Secretary will prepare a synthesis of the views and information received for consideration by the second meeting of the Intergovernmental Committee.



ANNEX I

QUESTIONNAIRE ON DOMESTIC NEEDS AND PRIORITIES FOR CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NAGOYA PROTOCOL ON ACCESS AND BENEFIT-SHARING

1. KEY AREAS FOR CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT

Article 22, paragraph 4, of the Nagoya Protocol provides the following indicative list of key areas for capacity-building and development in support of the effective implementation of the Protocol:

- (a) Capacity to implement, and to comply with the obligations of the Protocol;
- (b) Capacity to negotiate mutually agreed terms;
- (c) Capacity to develop, implement and enforce domestic legislative, administrative or policy measures on access and benefit-sharing; and
- (d) Capacity of countries to develop their endogenous research capabilities to add value to their own genetic resources.

In addition to the key areas listed above, please indicate any other key area for capacity-building and development in relation to your domestic needs and priorities in support of the implementation of the Protocol, taking into account the capacity needs and priorities of indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders.

-<text entry>

2. MEASURES TO BUILD OR DEVELOP CAPACITY UNDER EACH OF THE KEY AREAS AND PREFERRED MECHANISMS FOR THEIR IMPLEMENTATION.**A. Measures to build or develop capacity under each of the key areas for capacity-building and development**

Article 22, paragraph 5, of the Nagoya Protocol provides an indicative list of measures on which to build or develop capacity. In addition to that list, other possible measures have been identified by Parties, international organizations, indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders in the submissions of views and information in relation to capacity-building and development (UNEP/CBD/ICNP/1/INF/3) and during the capacity-building workshop on access and benefit-sharing held in Montreal 4-5 June 2011 (UNEP/CBD/ICNP/1/INF/6).

The following table takes into account the list of measures included in Article 22, paragraph 5, as well as the measures identified in the above mentioned documents to build or develop capacity under each of the key areas included in section 1 above.

On the basis of the tables provided below:

1. *Please rank as high, medium or low priority your domestic capacity building and development needs for each of the measures listed.*
2. *Please indicate the time frame within which you wish your domestic capacity-building and development needs to be addressed: short, medium or long term.²*

² These time frames are to be understood as follows: (a) short term: within 2 years; (b) medium term: within 2 to 5 years; and (c) long term: more than 5 years.

3. Please indicate any additional measures you wish to include under each of the key areas.
4. For each measure, please indicate your preferred mechanism which could assist in addressing the capacity needs related to that measure.

(a) Capacity to implement, and to comply with the obligations of the Protocol	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
Legal and institutional development (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Education and training	Other Scientific and technical cooperation
Establishing mechanisms for interagency coordination	<High>	Short term	Conferences and workshops	Other <please specify>
Mapping of relevant actors and existing expertise for the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol	<High>	Short term	Networks/associations/information fora	Other <please specify>
Employment of best available communication tools and Internet-based systems for access and benefit-sharing activities (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Short term	Tools and reference materials	Other Funding support
Providing information to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House	<High>	Short term	On-the-job training	Other <please specify>
Monitoring the utilization of genetic resources, including the designation of one or more checkpoints	<High>	Medium term	Scientific and technical cooperation	Other <please specify>
The monitoring and enforcement of compliance (Art.22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Education and training	Other <please specify>
Developing measures regarding access to justice	<Medium>	Long term	Education and training	Other <please specify>
Raising-awareness of the importance of genetic resources and traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources, and related access and benefit-sharing issues	<High>	Medium term	Education and training	Other Funding support
Enhancement of the contribution of access and benefit-sharing activities to the conservation of biological diversity and the sustainable use of its components (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Conferences and workshops	Other Funding support
Establishing mechanisms to address transboundary situations	<Medium>	Medium term	Conferences and workshops	Other Funding support
Mobilising new and innovative financial resources to implement the Nagoya Protocol	<High>	Medium term	Networks/associations/information fora	Other <please specify>
Special measures to increase the capacity of relevant stakeholders in relation to ABS (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Short term	Education and training	Other Funding support
Special measures to increase the capacity of indigenous and local communities with emphasis on enhancing the capacity of women within those communities in relation to access to genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Education and training	Other Tools and reference materials, Funding support, scientific and technical cooperation, exchange programs
Other <please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

(b) Capacity to negotiate mutually agreed terms	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
Promotion of equity and fairness in negotiations, such as training to negotiate mutually agreed terms (Art.22.5)	<High>	Short term	Legal/technical assistance	Other Round tables (dialogs) of stakeholders (users and providers)
Supporting the development of model contractual clauses	<High>	Short term	Legal/technical assistance	Other <please specify>
Developing and implementing pilot access and benefit-sharing agreements	<High>	Short term	Scientific and technical cooperation	Other <please specify>
Development and use of valuation methods (Art.22.5)	<Medium>	Medium term	Scientific and technical cooperation	Other <please specify>
Other Development of the concept of fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from commercial use of the genetic resources	<High>	Short term	Legal/technical assistance	Other Technical studies on the legal, economic, and administrative aspects of benefit sharing (how to share, with whom to share, how much to share)
Monitoring of the social impact of the benefit sharing on indigenous and local communities, and the impact on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity	<High>	Medium term	Legal/technical assistance	Other Funding support
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

(c) Capacity to develop, implement and enforce domestic legislative, administrative or policy measures on access and benefit-sharing	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
Taking stock of domestic measures relevant to access and benefit-sharing in light of the obligations of the Nagoya Protocol	<Low>	Long term	Tools and reference materials	Other <please specify>
Developing a policy framework on access and benefit-sharing	<High>	Medium term	Networks/associations/information fora	Other funding support
Setting-up new or amended access and benefit-sharing legislative, administrative or	<Low>	Short term	Legal/technical assistance	Other <please specify>

2011

policy measures with a view to implementing the Nagoya Protocol				
Other <please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

(d) Capacity of countries to develop their endogenous research capabilities to add value to their own genetic resources	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
Bioprospecting, associated research and taxonomic studies (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Scientific and technical cooperation	Other funding support
Development and use of valuation methods (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Scientific and technical cooperation	Other funding support
Technology transfer and infrastructure and technical capacity to make such technology transfer sustainable (Art. 22.5)	<High>	Medium term	Scientific and technical cooperation	Other <please specify>
Other <please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

Please indicate any additional key area(s) for capacity-building and development you have identified and please specify measures to build or develop capacity under any new key area identified

Other key area <please insert additional key area identified in section 1 above>	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

Other key area <please insert additional key area identified in section 1 above>	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
Managing traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources	<High>	Medium term	Legal/technical assistance	Other Education and training
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other

GW

				<please specify>
<please specify related measures>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

B. Particular capacity needs and priorities of indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders

In accordance with Article 22, paragraph 3, indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders are invited to provide views and information on measures, in addition to those listed above, needed to address their particular capacity needs and priorities to support implementation of the Nagoya Protocol.

On the basis of the table provided below:

5. Please rank as high, medium or low priority your domestic capacity needs for each of the measures listed.
6. Please indicate the time frame within which you wish your domestic capacity-building and development needs to be addressed: short, medium or long term¹.
7. Please indicate any additional measures you wish to include.
8. For each measure, please indicate your preferred mechanism which could assist in addressing the capacity needs related to that measure.

Particular measures to build or develop capacity of indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders	Priority level	Time frame	Mechanism to address the capacity needs	
Participating in legal, policy and decision-making processes	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
Managing traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
Understanding the obligations under the Nagoya Protocol	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
Developing capacity to negotiate mutually agreed terms	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
Developing community protocols in relation to access to traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising out of the utilization of that knowledge	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
Developing minimum requirements for mutually agreed terms to secure the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
Developing model contractual clauses for benefit-sharing arising from the utilization of traditional knowledge associated with genetic	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

¹ These time frames are to be understood as follows: (a) short term: within 2 years; (b) medium term: within 2 to 5 years; and (c) long term: more than 5 years.

gdf

resources				
Other <please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>
<please specify>	<Click here>	<Click here>	<Click here to select an option>	Other <please specify>

C. Preferred mechanisms to address capacity needs

With a view to identifying the most appropriate capacity-building and development mechanisms to address the needs identified above:

1. Please rank as high, medium or low priority your preferred broad categories of mechanisms to address your capacity needs for the implementation of capacity-building and development measures.
2. For each of the broad categories select up to three mechanisms, as proposed below, which in your opinion are the most appropriate to address your capacity needs and priorities.
3. Please indicate any additional mechanisms you may wish to include.
4. For each of the mechanisms selected, please indicate whether these are to be implemented at multiple levels, at the international level, at regional and subregional levels or at national level

Broad categories of mechanisms	Priority level	Mechanisms to address the capacity needs (Max: 3)	Level of implementation
Education and training	<High>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Academic (degree) programmes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional training (customised short courses) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-learning modules <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Multiple levels Multiple levels National <Click here>
Funding support	<High>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project/programme support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scholarships/fellowships <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Research grants <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	National Multiple levels Multiple levels <Click here>
Tools and reference materials	<High>	<input type="checkbox"/> Training manuals <input type="checkbox"/> Technical guidelines/toolkits/"how to manuals" <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical studies <input type="checkbox"/> Publications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Best practices/lessons learned/case studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Awareness-raising materials (e.g. audiovisuals and films, posters, bulletins, etc) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	<Click here> National Regional and subregional Multiple levels National National <Click here>

aw

Conferences and workshops	<High>	<input type="checkbox"/> Awareness-raising seminars <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion forums <input type="checkbox"/> Fairs/exhibitions/poster sessions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> National/regional/international conferences <input type="checkbox"/> Training workshops <input type="checkbox"/> Symposia/scientific meetings <input type="checkbox"/> Policy dialogues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multi-stakeholders workshops <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	<Click here> International <Click here> Multiple levels <Click here> <Click here> <Click here> Multiple levels <Click here>
Networks/associations information exchange fora	<Medium>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online discussion fora <input type="checkbox"/> Professional associations (Membership subscriptions) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert (peer-to-peer) networks <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy networks <input type="checkbox"/> Journal subscriptions <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	Multiple levels <Click here> International International <Click here> <Click here>
Exchange programmes	<High>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff exchange/attachment/secondments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Study tours/exchange visits <input type="checkbox"/> Twinning programmes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fellowships <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	Multiple levels Multiple levels <Click here> Multiple levels <Click here>
On-the-job training	<Click here>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Apprenticeships, internships <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coaching/mentoring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Structured staff training programmes <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	Multiple levels International National <Click here>
Legal/technical assistance	<Click here>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advisory/consultancy (expatriate) services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information services <input type="checkbox"/> Institutional support (Infrastructure development) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/legal support <input type="checkbox"/> Project/programme development <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	International International <Click here> Multiple levels <Click here> <Click here>
Scientific and technical cooperation	<Click here>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaborative research <input type="checkbox"/> Exchange of scientific and technical information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Joint training programmes <input type="checkbox"/> Joint projects/technical activities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technology transfer <input type="checkbox"/> Sharing of infrastructure/equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	Multiple levels <Click here> Multiple levels <Click here> Multiple levels <Click here> <Click here>
Other <please specify>	<Click here>	<please specify>	<Click here>

ANNEX II

QUESTIONNAIRE ON PROPOSED ELEMENTS OF THE STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE NAGOYA PROTOCOL ON ACCESS AND BENEFIT-SHARING

Please provide your views and suggestions for each of the proposed elements of the strategic framework.

1. OBJECTIVES

In accordance with Article 22 of the Nagoya Protocol, the objective of the strategic framework is to assist Parties in the capacity-building, capacity development and strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in developing country Parties, in particular the least developed countries and small

island developing States among them, and Parties with economies in transition to effectively implement the Protocol.

Please provide any views on the possible objective(s) for the strategic framework

<Text entry>

2. EXPERIENCE AND LESSONS LEARNED FROM PAST AND ONGOING ACCESS AND BENEFIT-SHARING CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES.

Please provide a short description of your experience and lessons learned from past and ongoing access and benefit-sharing capacity-building and development initiatives which could contribute to the development and implementation of the strategic framework.

The capacity building and development initiative must target all stakeholders, from academy to traditional and indigenous communities and to government officials and companies. The programs must be specifically developed for each target public. The initiative must also be complemented by a robust awareness raising programme.

3. GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND APPROACHES TO CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT

The preamble of the recommendation 1/2 of the Intergovernmental Committee provides a preliminary list of principles and approaches to guide capacity-building and development in support of the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol, as follows:

- a) To be demand-driven, based on the needs and priorities identified through national self-assessments;
- b) To take note of experiences and lessons learned from past and on-going ABS capacity-building initiatives;
- c) To emphasize the role of bilateral and multilateral cooperation;
- d) To ensure full involvement of indigenous and local communities and relevant stakeholders, including women, in capacity-building and development initiatives; and
- e) To recognize the usefulness and cost-effectiveness of subregional and regional approaches to capacity-building and development in particular where countries have similar biological resources and common capacity-building needs.

The list below contains the GEF operational principles for effective capacity-building.⁴

Please indicate from this list which of these principles and approaches could be reflected in the strategic framework to guide capacity-building and development initiatives under the Nagoya Protocol by placing a cross (X).

- Ensure national ownership and leadership
- Ensure multi-stakeholder consultations and decision-making
- Base capacity building efforts in self-needs assessment
- Adopt a holistic approach to capacity-building
- Integrate capacity-building in wider sustainable development efforts

⁴ GEF/C.22/8 "Strategic Approach to Enhancing Capacity Building" (2003). Definitions of the operational principles can be found in the annex to the document.

05

- Promote partnerships
- Accommodate the dynamic nature of capacity-building
- Adopt a learning-by-doing approach
- Combine programmatic and project-based approaches
- Combine process as well as product-based approaches
- Promote regional approaches

Other <please specify>

4. KEY AREAS FOR CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT AND MEASURES TO BUILD OR DEVELOP CAPACITY UNDER THE KEY AREAS

This element of the strategic framework will be based on the responses to the questionnaire on domestic needs and priorities (Annex I).

5. MECHANISMS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CAPACITY-BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT MEASURES

This element of the strategic framework will be based on the responses to the questionnaire on domestic needs and priorities (Annex I).

6. COORDINATION MECHANISM

Article 22, paragraph 6, of the Protocol provides that information on capacity-building and development initiatives at national, regional and international levels should be provided to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-house with a view to promoting synergy and coordination on capacity-building and development for access and benefit-sharing.

In addition to reporting to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House, other possible elements for a coordination mechanism are listed below. Please indicate which of these, in your opinion, could be useful element(s) for a coordination mechanism to promote synergy and coordination on capacity-building and development under the Nagoya Protocol by placing a cross (X).

- Liaison group providing advice to the SCBD on ways to improve coordination
- Coordination meetings of government agencies, donors and relevant organizations involved with capacity-building
- Online forums and networks linking government agencies, donors and relevant organizations involved with capacity-building through internet-based tools

Other <please specify>

Please provide views regarding a coordination mechanism for capacity-building and development under the Nagoya Protocol.

Face to face coordination meetings are essential but they can be intermingled with on line forums and networking.

dar

7. COOPERATION AMONG PARTIES AND WITH RELEVANT PROCESSES AND PROGRAMMES

Please provide views or information on possible or existing cooperation among Parties and with relevant processes and programmes which could support the implementation of the strategic framework.

<Text entry>

8. MONITORING AND REVIEW

Please provide views on how the strategic framework could be monitored and reviewed.

The strategic framework can be monitored by participant's evaluation of each capacity building activity and periodical consultation about the effectiveness of the initiatives for each Party involved

Please indicate whether, in your opinion, the development of a set of indicators to facilitate the monitoring and review of the strategic framework would be useful.

A set of indicators will be useful to facilitate monitoring and review of the strategic framework

If you think the development of indicators could support the monitoring and review of the strategic framework, please indicate whether, in your opinion, it would be most appropriate to develop the indicators at the national and/or international level. If possible, please also provide examples of such indicators (e.g. existence of a legislative framework to implement the Protocol).

national levels - number of PICs/MAT and numbers of International Certificates issued

9. POSSIBLE SEQUENCE OF ACTIONS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

Please provide your views and/or information regarding possible sequence of actions for the implementation of the strategic framework, including a possible roadmap of activities to assist countries in defining their priorities and corresponding timelines. This could include actions at the international, regional and national levels.

Training for operating the ABS Clearing House, Network and regional workshops for sharing experience on the implementation of national ABS legislation, aiming at informing and training those that do not yet have national legislations; workshop on specific topics, ex: benefit sharing contracts, tools and methodologies for training local and indigenous communities (including development of information materials)

10. FINANCIAL AND OTHER RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

Please provide your views and/or information regarding financial and resource requirements in relation to the implementation of the strategic framework

Dedicated funding will be needed; roster of experts

13

11. OTHER POSSIBLE ELEMENTS

Please provide a short description of any other element that you wish to see reflected in the strategic framework.

<Text entry>

Eliana M. G. Fontes
20/11/2011
Eliana M. G. Fontes
Diretora Departamento do
Patrimônio Genético
Ministério do Meio Ambiente